•			1/01
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Allowability	09/698,009	KOEHLER ET AL.	\
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cheryl N Hawkins	1734	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHT of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in to or other appropriate communated in the community of the commu	his application. If not included ication will be mailed in due o	d ourse THIS
 This communication is responsive to <u>Amendment filed on I</u> The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-19 and 34-47</u>. 	<u> November 7, 2003</u> .		
3. The drawings filed on 10/26/00 are accepted by the Examiner.			
4. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the:		(f).	
 Certified copies of the priority documents have 			
Certified copies of the priority documents have			
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority does International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 	cuments have been received i	n this national stage application	on from the
* Certified copies not received:			
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority un reference was included in the first sentence of the specifical services.	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a pation or in an Application Data	provisional application) since : Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.	a specific
(a) \square The translation of the foreign language provisional a			
6. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority up in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or Data Sheet, 37 CFR 1.78.	121 since a specific reference	was included
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of	this communication to file a rethis application. THIS THREE	eply complying with the requin E-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT E	ements noted
7. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subminification (PTO-152) which give	itted. Note the attached EXAMes reason(s) why the oath or de	IINER'S AMENDMENT or NO eclaration is deficient.	TICE OF
8. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers		PTO-948) attached	
1) hereto or 2) to Paper No			
(b) ☐ including changes required by the proposed drawing correction filed, which has been approved by the Examiner.(c) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No			
ldentifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1. each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in th	84(c)) should be written on the ne margin according to 37 CFR	drawings in the front (not the b 1.121(d).	ack) of
9. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR TI	sit of BIOLOGICAL MATER HE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICA	RIAL must be submitted. No NL MATERIAL.	ite the
Attachment(s)			
1☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5☐ Notice of Inform	nal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)
2 Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6⊠ Interview Sumn	nary (PTO-413), Paper No. <u>12</u>	<u>/08/03</u> .
3 Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No), 7⊠ Examiner's Am	endment/Comment	
4 Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	8⊠ Examiner's Stat 9⊡ Other	tement of Reasons for Allowa	nce

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Attorney Craig J. Lervick on December 8, 2003.

2. The application has been amended as follows:

In the Claims: Claims 20-33, which were non-elected with traverse, have been cancelled.

- 3. Claims 1-19 and 34-47 are allowed.
- 4. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

As to Claim 1, the prior art of record to Foote, Jr. et al. (US 4,083,737) discloses a laminating device which includes a primary feeding mechanism cooperating with a primary supply web for advancing a predetermined length of the primary supply web, a secondary feeding mechanism for advancing a predetermined length of secondary; a cross web shearing apparatus positioned downstream from the secondary feeding mechanism for receiving the predetermined length of the secondary web, the cross web shearing apparatus including a shear blade positioned substantially perpendicular to the secondary web and movable through a cutting

Art Unit: 1734

motion to cause a strip to be sheared from the secondary web; a handling drive positioned adjacent the shearing apparatus for receiving the strip from the shearing apparatus and moving the reinforcing strip to a sealing location adjacent the primary supply web; and a laminating device located proximate to a handling manifold for sealing the strip to the primary supply web. The prior art of record to Foote, Jr. et al. discloses that the length of the strip is determined by the width of the strip. The prior art of record to van der Klugt (US 5,584,954) discloses a laminating device which includes a primary feeding mechanism cooperating with a primary supply web for advancing a predetermined length of the primary supply web; a secondary feeding mechanism for advancing a predetermined length of secondary web, a cross web shearing apparatus positioned downstream from the secondary feeding mechanism for receiving the predetermined length of the secondary web, the cross web shearing apparatus including a shear blade movable through a cutting motion to cause a strip to be sheared from the secondary web; a handling drive positioned adjacent the shearing apparatus for receiving the strip and moving it to a sealing location adjacent the primary supply web, and a laminating device located proximate to a handling manifold for sealing the strip to the primary supply web. van der Klugt discloses that the length of each cut segment of the secondary web is fixed to be equal to the length of the handling manifolds. The prior art of record does not disclose or provide any motivation for modifying the laminating device of Foote, Jr. et al. or van der Klugt to include a feeding mechanism for advancing a selectable predetermined length of the secondary web, i.e. automatic means for a user to request any desired supply from upstream equipment which is managed via a control mechanism.

Art Unit: 1734

As to Claim 34, the prior art of record to Foote, Jr. et al. (US 4,083,737) discloses an apparatus for producing a supply of material in a web format having reinforcements in predetermined locations comprising a primary supply feeding roll coupled to a primary web to move the primary web in a predetermined manner; a secondary supply feed mechanism coupled to a secondary web to move the secondary web in a predetermined manner; a cross web shear device having a shear blade, the cross web shear device positioned to receive the secondary supply web at a cutting position between the shear blade and the support blade, the cross web shear further having a blade actuator for moving the shear blade through a cutting motion after the secondary web has been positioned at the cutting position resulting in a reinforcing strip to be sheared from the secondary web; a movable applicator having an applicator manifold positionable in a cutting position adjacent the cross web shear such that the secondary web extends adjacent a holding surface of the applicator manifold when the secondary web is in the cutting position, the applicator manifold having a plurality of vacuum apertures in the holding surface to create a vacuum seal between the secondary web and the holding surface when the secondary web is in the cutting position, the movable applicator movable between cutting position and a delivery position allowing movement of the reinforcement strip to an attachment location; and an attachment device positioned adjacent the primary web and adjacent the applicator delivery position, in which the reinforcing strip can be attached to the primary web at a reinforcing location by the attachment device cooperating with the applicator. Foote, Jr. et al. discloses that the length of the strip is determined by the width of the strip. van der Klugt (US 5,584,954) discloses an apparatus including a primary supply feed roller coupled to a primary web to move the primary web in a predetermined manner; a secondary supply feed roller coupled

Art Unit: 1734

to a secondary web to move the secondary web in a predetermined manner; a cross web shear device having a shear blade, the cross web shear device positioned to receive the secondary web at a cutting position between the shear blade and a movable applicator, the cross web shear further having a blade actuator for moving the shear blade through a cutting motion after the secondary web has been positioned at the cutting position resulting in a strip to be sheared from the secondary web; a movable applicator having an applicator manifold positionable in a cutting position adjacent the cross web shear device such that the secondary web extends adjacent a holding surface of the applicator manifold having a plurality of vacuum apertures in a holding surface to create a vacuum seal between the secondary web and the holding surface when the secondary web is in the cutting position, the movable applicator movable between cutting position and a delivery position allowing movement of the strip to an attachment location; and an attachment device positioned adjacent the applicator delivery position, in which the strip can be attached to the primary web by the attachment device cooperating with the applicator. van der Klugt discloses that the length of each cut segment of the secondary web is fixed to be equal to the length of the handling manifolds. The prior art of record does not disclose or provide any motivation for modifying the laminating device of Foote, Jr. et al. or van der Klugt to include a feeding mechanism for advancing a selectable predetermined length of the secondary web, i.e. automatic means for a user to request any desired supply from upstream equipment which is managed via a control mechanism.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue

Art Unit: 1734

fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for

Allowance."

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Cheryl N. Hawkins whose telephone number is (703) 306-0941

until December 18, 2003 or (571) 272-1229 after December 18, 2003. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on (703) 308-3853 until December 18, 2003 or

(571) 272-1226 after December 18, 2003. The fax phone numbers for the organization where the

application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9310 for regular communications or (703)

872-9311 for After-Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Cheryl N. Hawkins

Chenge n. Hawkin 12/9/03

December 9, 2003

RICHARD COCCOMO SUPERVISORY PATER EXAMINER

ECHNOLOGY.

1700

Page 6